

EXHIBIT GG

EXHIBIT GG

CITATIONS

(to SPCSA Transcripts)

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Authority praises plan and requests metrics to measure success for July meeting</p> <p><u>PRAISE FOR PLAN:</u></p> <p>Page 196 (Mackedon: "...this report is really well done. And in fact, it's very easy to read.")</p> <p>Page 199 (McCord: "I'd really like to congratulate you on that. That's an element we don't see very often.")</p> <p>Page 203 (McCord: "I congratulate the school for putting this in there. It speaks to the integrity of the data collection, but it does one other thing. It actually defines the actionable data.")</p> <p>Page 212 (Johnson: "...if you implement this really stellar plan that I think we've all been impressed by...")</p> <p><u>METRICS:</u></p> <p>Page 244-246: (Chairman Johnson: So it sounds like we have an actionable next step, which is to see staff and the school be working together to try to determine some benchmarks so that when you come back to us, you'll have something in writing we can be able to measure its progress. You asked for an action step. That's next. That's an action step. Excuse me. That is next. Mr. Gavin: So to be sensitive to time, I think we should just plan on coming back in July for each of the schools that has this particular issue to have that conversation. Thanks very much, Mr. Chairman. Unidentified Speaker: Just for a bit of clarification, we would be coming back in July to have an updated information item discussion about proposed benchmarks, and then hopefully that --. Chairman Johnson: I am envisioning that you and staff would talk with one another about what those benchmarks would look like. Why don't you let me know, Jack, because that's something we can just kind of toss around.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Member Conaboy: I'd like to reframe this just a little bit. What I heard from the schools this morning and this afternoon, is how productive it has been to work in a collaborative fashion with</p> | <p>Transcript of May 20, 2016 Hearing (SECURED)</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>staff, and rather than frame this as a dictate, I'd like to encourage further collaboration so that the schools and our staff come to us with a joint proposal on metrics. That's the attitude and the philosophy that I would like to propose we use going forward.</p> | |
| <p>NCA should be given time for graduation rate improvement plan to work</p> <p>Pages 234-235: MS. MACKEDON: I would just say, I think, you know, we said that we wanted like an update and a plan, and they have provided that. And I think now - - I mean maybe that wasn't wise on our part when we said it. Maybe we wouldn't do that again if we had it to do over again. But that's what we did. They provided the plan. It's foolish for us, in my opinion, to sit and argue about all of this - - the little nuances and the numbers and the timelines.</p> <p>The law is the law. The rules are the rules. It's their responsibility to put forth the plan, which they did, and to get results on it. And it's our responsibility to make a decision when the results come out in a year or six months or whenever it is they come out. And I don't really thing [sic] that there's much else we can do but say, good work, we wish you luck. We hope that you meet all the marks that are required to meet before we - - you know, before we're all in this situation again. I think that's all there is for us to do at this point.</p> | <p>Transcript of May 20, 2016 Hearing (SECURED)</p> |
| <p>Charter contract should be mutually agreeable</p> <p>Pages 167-168: CHAIR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Gavin. Vice-Chair Mackedon, would you like to repeat your motion? VICE-CHAIR MACKEDON: Okay. Let's see. I recommend the approval of these goals contingent upon the school entering into a charter contract which contains terms agreeable to both parties. CHAIR JOHNSON: Is there a second? MEMBER CORBETT: Second. CHAIR JOHNSON: All in favor? THE BOARD: Aye CHAIR JOHNSON: Any opposed? Any abstaining? Member Conaboy, I don't know if we recorded your vote. MEMBER CONABOY: I was saying aye while Danny was talking. CHAIR JOHNSON: Oh, okay. All right. So the motion passes.</p> | <p>Transcript of July 29, 2016 Hearing (SECURED)</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Authority believes NCA requested a charter amendment</p> <p>Page 163-165: MS. GRANIER: And what's the legal Authority to force the school to go to a contract at this point when we have a charter in place?</p> <p>DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OTT: Well, I guess arguably, I guess if you don't consent, then that's something that could be litigated. If you choose to say no, we don't want these conditions and we're not going to sign any contract, I'd have to look for the statutory Authority. I was going to - - I saw no reason why the school would be unhappy with this. It seemed to be 90 percent of what the school wanted, but we haven't had a chance to discuss that prior to. I'm kind of surprised by your conversation.</p> <p>CHAIR JOHNSON: It sounds like this particular part, I think at least, I am ready to entertain a motion around what we did to move forward because I think it's actually Chair Mackedon that the contractual agreement actually, if we can't work it out, we're going to go back and forth anyway. But again, for us to actually start moving forward and have some very measurable benchmarks, we actually have to start putting some things in place.</p> <p>MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?</p> <p>CHAIR JOHNSON: Yes, Member Conaboy?</p> <p>MEMBER CONABOY: May I just ask if this item, this agenda item, even though I'm not sure that I see the word anywhere, but is this certain essentially an amendment to the written charter? And I ask that question - - I mean, to amend metrics seems to me to be an amendment to the charter, and what we have been doing since the passage of AB 205 with performance based charter contracts is to require schools that seek significant amendments like this to enter into a charter contract with us. I'm interpreting this.</p> | <p>Transcript of July 29, 2016 Hearing (SECURED)</p> |
| <p>Refusal to allow witness testimony for NCA</p> <p>Pages 9-11 (Granier: "I'm speaking during public comment because I've been informed by your Deputy Attorney General, Greg Ott, that I would not be allowed and the Nevada Connections Academy would not be allowed to speak during the agenda item today that involves Nevada Connections Academy, notwithstanding that agenda item includes a possible action related to a Notice of Revocation.")</p> | <p>Transcript of Sept. 23, 2016 hearing (SECURED)</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Beacon allowed witness testimony</p> <p>Starting at page 75 – Tambre Tondryk and Africa Sanchez</p> | <p>Transcript of Sept. 23, 2016 hearing (SECURED)</p> |
| <p>Board members state only available cure is to accept contract with judicial waiver clause</p> <p>Page 42-44 (Member Guinasso: I'd like to make two motions. The first motion, in accordance with the staff's recommendation, I'd like to move that we clarify that the language that's been summarized in the memo be included as an essential term of any agreement that we would reach with Nevada Connections. Chair Johnson: Do we have a second? Vice-Chair Mackedon: Melissa Mackedon, second. Chair Johnson: All in favor? Board: Aye. Chair Johnson: All right.</p> <p>Chair Johnson: And your second motion, Member Guinasso? Member Guinasso: My second motion is that pursuant to NRS 388A.330 and NAC 386.330, that the Authority direct staff to issue a Notice of Intent to revoke the written charter, Nevada Connections Academy, based on having a graduation rate for the preceding school year that is less than 60 percent. Chair Johnson: Do I have a second? Vice-Chair Mackedon. Melissa Mackedon, second. Chair Johnson: All in favor? Board. Aye. Chair Johnson: Any opposed? Member Luna: Nora Luna. Opposed. Chair Johnson: And then Member Johnson is an aye. And then obviously, Member Corbett and Member Conaboy are not present.</p> | <p>Transcript of Sept. 23, 2016 hearing (SECURED)</p> |